1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS,) Case No.: 10-CV-03428-LHK INC., a Delaware corporation, and FOUNDRY NETWORKS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Plaintiffs, SECOND ORDER STRIKING v. PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A10 NETWORKS, INC., a California SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON corporation, LEE CHEN, an individual, **INFRINGEMENT** RAJKUMAR JALAN, an individual, RON SZETO, an individual, LIANG HAN, an individual, STEVEN HWANG, an individual, and DAVID CHEUNG, an individual, Defendants.

Plaintiffs Brocade Communications Systems, Inc., and Foundry Networks LLC ("Brocade") lodged with the Court a motion for summary judgment on infringement on November 8, 2011, in violation of two Court Orders. On October 18, 2011, the Court struck Brocade's first motion for summary judgment on infringement, which was 72 pages long, and ordered Brocade to file *one* motion for summary judgment on infringement not to exceed 25 pages. ECF No. 252. Moreover, the case management schedule required motions for summary judgment on infringement to be filed by October 11, 2011. ECF No. 101.

27

28

Case No.: 10-CV-03428-LHK

SECOND ORDER STRIKING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON INFRINGEMENT

Case 5:10-cv-03428-PSG Document 317 Filed 11/14/11 Page 2 of 2

	7
	8
	9
	10
t ornia	11
J our Calife	12
ict (13
Distric	14
tates ern D	15
ved S Vorth	16
U nited For the Nort	17
For	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

The Court strikes Brocade's motion for summary judgment on infringement because Brocade has failed to comply with two of this Court's Orders. The parties are now on notice that failure to comply with this Court Order, or the October 18, 2011 Order may result in sanctions for the offending party.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 14, 2011

United States District Judge

Case No.: 10-CV-03428-LHK

¹ A10's motion seeking leave to enlarge time to reply to the motion for summary judgment, and Brocade's motion to file under seal are therefore denied as moot. *See* ECF Nos. 300 & 314.